## Topology and manipulation of multiferroic hybrid domains in MnWO<sub>4</sub>

D. Meier, N. Leo, M. Maringer, Th. Lottermoser, and M. Fiebig

Helmholtz-Institut für Strahlen- und Kernphysik, Universität Bonn, Nussallee 14-16, 53115 Bonn, Germany

P. Becker and L. Bohatý

Institut für Kristallographie, Universität zu Köln, Zülpicher Strasse 49b, 50937 Köln, Germany (Received 10 October 2009; published 17 December 2009)

An investigation of the spatially resolved distribution of domains in the multiferroic phase of  $MnWO_4$  by optical second harmonic generation reveals that characteristic features of magnetic and ferroelectric domains are inseparably entangled. Consequently, the concept of *multiferroic hybrid domains* is introduced for compounds in which ferroelectricity is induced by magnetic order. The three-dimensional structure of the domains is resolved. Annealing cycles reveal a topological memory effect that goes beyond previously reported memory effects and allows one to reconstruct the entire multiferroic multidomain structure subsequent to quenching it.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.80.224420

PACS number(s): 75.60.Ch, 77.80.Dj, 42.65.Ky

In the field of strongly correlated electron systems materials with cross-correlated magnetic and electric properties, called magnetoelectrics, are intensely discussed because of their potential for controlling magnetic properties by an electric voltage. Among them, the magnetoelectric multiferroics may be most prominent because due to a coexistence of magnetic and electric order they can develop particularly pronounced magnetoelectric interactions.<sup>1,2</sup> Recently it was demonstrated that intrinsically strong (giant) magnetoelectric effects are present in the so-called joint-order-parameter multiferroics in which magnetic long-range order breaks the inversion symmetry and induces a spontaneous polarization.<sup>3–5</sup> For example, in TbMnO<sub>3</sub>, Ni<sub>3</sub>V<sub>2</sub>O<sub>8</sub>, and MnWO<sub>4</sub>, a spiral arrangement of spins violates the inversion symmetry and causes a spontaneous polarization

$$\mathbf{P} \propto \mathbf{e}_{ij} \times (\mathbf{S}_i \times \mathbf{S}_j) \tag{1}$$

with  $\mathbf{e}_{ij}$  as unit vector connecting neighboring spins at sites *i* and *j* and  $(\mathbf{S}_i \times \mathbf{S}_j)$  as vector chirality.<sup>6–8</sup> The magnitude and direction of  $\mathbf{P}$  are determined by the magnetic order only so that a unique correlation between the magnetic and ferroelectric order parameters is obtained. Although the spontaneous polarization is usually small, its robustness<sup>9</sup> renders joint-order-parameter multiferroics interesting for future applications.

An essential feature of any ferroic material is the presence of domains. They determine the switching of information bits in memory devices and the technological performance of permanent magnets. At its root, any magnetoelectric interaction in a multiferroic corresponds to an interaction of its magnetic and electric domains. Hence, understanding giant magnetoelectric effects means understanding the nature and interactions of the multidomain state in the joint-orderparameter multiferroics.<sup>10</sup> However, although domains are known to be present in these compounds by a variety of (mostly indirect) experiments<sup>9,11–16</sup> the prime target of previous investigations was actually to *remove* these domains by converting the samples to or in between single-domain states.

Therefore, none of the existing publications addresses the three-dimensional distribution of domains in a joint-orderparameter multiferroic and the effects guiding their formation. With this, essential questions regarding the nature of the domains remain unclear. For example, to what extent can a domain actually be called ferroelectric if the spontaneous polarization is magnetically induced?

In this paper, the three-dimensional topology of domains in the joint-order-parameter multiferroic  $MnWO_4$  is resolved by optical second harmonic generation (SHG). The spatial distribution of the domains, their response to external fields, and annealing procedures reveal some features that are uniquely associated to a magnetic domain state and others that point unambiguously to ferroelectric domains. The concept of "multiferroic hybrid domains" is thus introduced whereas a description in terms of "magnetic domains" or "electric domains" or even "magnetic domains coexisting with electric domains" is no longer appropriate.

The evolution of the multiferroic phases and their domains in joint-order-parameter compounds can be described by Landau theory. In the case of spin-spiral systems it was shown that a coexistence of two magnetic order parameters is required for obtaining multiferroicity.<sup>17–20</sup> The first magnetic order parameter generally guides the system into a phase with an incommensurate nonpolar spin arrangement. Upon cooling another magnetic transition described by a second magnetic order parameter evolves, so that the combination of both breaks the inversion symmetry of the crystal. As a consequence, a spontaneous polarization according to Eq. (1) can emerge.

For the investigation of the local structure of domains in spin-spiral ferroelectrics the choice of  $MnWO_4$  as a model system suggests itself. On the one hand, its magnetic lattice is rather simple, because no rare earth and just one kind of transition-metal ion contributes to the magnetic order. On the other hand, the rich magnetic phase diagram includes transitions from the multiferroic phase to neighboring ordered or disordered states which allows one to probe memory effects in either.<sup>8,21</sup>

Three magnetically ordered phases determine the lowtemperature behavior of MnWO<sub>4</sub>. In the AF3 phase right below  $T_N$ =13.5 K incommensurate antiferromagnetic ordering of the Mn<sup>2+</sup> moments described by the order parameter  $\mathcal{O}_{AF3}$  occurs. The spins align in a collinear way, pointing



FIG. 1. (Color online) (a) Magnetic phase transitions in MnWO<sub>4</sub>. Below the Néel temperature  $T_N$  the Mn<sup>2+</sup> moments display collinear incommensurate long-range order within the easy plane. At  $T_2$  an additional transverse spin component orders which leads to an elliptical incommensurate arrangement of spins. In the magnetic ground state below  $T_1$  commensurate antiferromagnetic ordering is obtained. The spin spiral in the AF2 phase induces a spontaneous electric polarization along the *y* axis while the AF1 and AF3 phases are not multiferroic. [(b)–(d)] Spatially resolved SHG measurements in the AF1 to AF3 phases. A domain structure (with black lines revealing domain walls) is detected in the multiferroic AF2 phase only. *x*, *y*, and *z* represent a Cartesian coordinate system, approximating the monoclinic unit cell with lattice parameters *a* =4.83 Å, *b*=5.76 Å, *c*=4.99 Å, and  $\beta$ =91.1° ≈ 90°.

along the easy axis within the xz plane so that they enclose an angle of about 34° with the x axis of the monoclinic crystal as illustrated in Fig. 1(a). Their magnitude is sinusoidally modulated, leading to a two-dimensional incommensurate spin-density wave with  $\mathbf{k} = (-0.214, \frac{1}{2}, 0.457).^{22}$ 

In the multiferroic AF2 phase below  $T_2=12.7$  K an additional transverse spin component orders and the spin-density wave becomes an elliptical spin spiral while retaining  $\mathbf{k} = (-0.214, \frac{1}{2}, 0.457)$  as wave vector. The related magnetic order parameter  $\mathcal{O}_{AF2}$  evolves through a second-order phase transition at  $T_2$  and coexists with  $\mathcal{O}_{AF3}$ . As mentioned above, the simultaneous presence of the two magnetic order parameters breaks the inversion symmetry and induces a spontaneous polarization, here

$$P_{v} \propto \mathcal{O}_{AF3} \mathcal{O}_{AF2} \tag{2}$$

along to the *y* axis, and establishes multiferroicity in MnWO<sub>4</sub>. Note that  $\mathcal{O}_{AF2}$  can be reoriented below  $T_2$  while  $\mathcal{O}_{AF3}$  is frozen.<sup>18–20</sup> Thus, any reversal of  $\mathcal{O}_{AF2}$  is coupled one-to-one to a reversal of  $P_y$ .<sup>23,24</sup>

In the AF1 phase, MnWO<sub>4</sub> displays collinear commensurate antiferromagnetic order. The associated wave vector is  $\mathbf{k} = (\pm \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$  and describes a simple antiferromagnetic spin structure along x with spins aligned along the easy axis. In agreement with Eq. (1), the magnetic order parameter  $\mathcal{O}_{AF2}$ and, thus,  $P_y$ , are quenched at the first-order AF2 $\rightarrow$ AF1 transition at  $T_1=7.6$  K while  $\mathcal{O}_{AF3}$  remains.

Because of the intricate interplay of the order parameters  $\mathcal{O}_{AF2}$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{AF3}$  the number and types of domains for the three phases will differ. A powerful technique for investigating domain structures in systems with magnetic or electric order is SHG. Optical SHG describes the induction of a light

wave at frequency  $2\omega$  by a light wave at frequency  $\omega$ . The process picks up the symmetry changes imposed by the longrange order by coupling directly to the corresponding order parameter and its different orientation in different domains. A detailed discussion of the technical aspects of SHG in ferroic systems in general<sup>25</sup> and in MnWO<sub>4</sub>, in particular,<sup>13</sup> was already published so that we restrict ourselves here to the application of SHG for imaging the domain structure of MnWO<sub>4</sub>. In the present experiment, the samples were illuminated at normal incidence in a transmission setup by 2–5 mJ, 3 ns light pulses at a repetition rate of 10–40 Hz. Photon energies and polarizations are summarized at the end of the paper.<sup>26</sup>

Obviously, SHG contributions arising at  $T_N$  probe the magnetic order parameter  $\mathcal{O}_{AF3}$ , while those emerging at  $T_2$ involve coupling to  $\mathcal{O}_{AF2}$  and, because of Eq. (2), to  $P_{y}$ . As overview, Figs. 1(b)-1(d) show spatially resolved measurements of the SHG intensity in the AF1, AF2, and AF3 phase, respectively. While the AF1 and the AF3 phases reveal a homogeneous distribution of SHG intensity, the multiferroic AF2 phase exhibits about ten curved black lines on an otherwise homogeneous background. Such lines are a hallmark for the presence of domains with opposite orientation of the corresponding order parameter. Because of the linear coupling to the order parameter, the SHG light field experiences a sign reversal corresponding to a 180° phase shift when crossing the domain wall. This leads to destructive interference in the vicinity of the domain wall and, therefore, to the black lines. According to Fig. 1 such 180° domains are present in the multiferroic AF2 phase only while being absent in the AF1 and AF3 phases.

In order to understand this observation we have to analyze the symmetry of the AF1 to AF3 phases. The monoclinic paraelectric and paramagnetic phase of MnWO<sub>4</sub> belongs to the point-group  $2_v/m_v 1'$ . This point symmetry is preserved by the commensurate up-up down-down spin configuration in the AF1 phase and also by the incommensurate spindensity wave in the AF3 phase because the magnetic order breaks translation symmetries only.<sup>22</sup> Therefore, a formation of domains with different orientation of the order parameter should indeed not occur.<sup>27</sup> In contrast, the multiferroic AF2 phase possesses the point symmetry  $2_v 1'$  with half the number of point-symmetry operations as in the group  $2_{\nu}/m_{\nu}1'$ . As observed, this leads to two domains with an opposite sign of the magnetic order parameter  $\mathcal{O}_{AF2}$  corresponding to an opposite magnetic vector chirality and, via Eq. (2), to an opposite sign of the spontaneous polarization  $P_{y}$ .

In the following, we will focus on the discussion of the  $180^{\circ}$  domains in the multiferroic AF2 phase. First of all, we will investigate the topology of the AF2 domains and their response to external poling fields. Figure 2(a) shows the distribution of the AF2 domains in the *xz* plane after zero-field cooling. We find a labyrinthlike arrangement of dark and bright areas, distributed in approximately equal proportions across the sample. The different level of brightness corresponds to opposite magnetic vector chirality and spontaneous polarization according to Eq. (1). In contrast to Fig. 1(c) a different brightness between opposite domains (in addition to the mere domain walls) is observed because of the interference of the SHG light field arising from the multiferroic



FIG. 2. (Color online) Spatially resolved SHG images reveal the hybrid nature of the multiferroic domains in MnWO<sub>4</sub>. (a) Domain structure in the *xz* plane. A pronounced elongation along the magnetic easy axis of the crystal (double arrow) and the bubble topology reflect the magnetic aspect of the domains. [(b) and (c)] Domain structure in electric fields of (b) 1.67 kV/cm and (c) 2.17 kV/cm applied along the *y* axis. [(d) and (e)] Domain structure in magnetic fields of (d) 0 T and (e) 5 T applied along the *x* axis. Only the electric field affects the distribution of the domains, thus reflecting their electric aspect.

order with a homogeneous SHG light field generated by the crystal lattice.<sup>25</sup> The topology of the domains in Fig. 2(a) reveals two preferential directions: along the *z* axis and along a line including an angle of about  $34^{\circ}$  with the *x* axis.

Figure 2(a) clearly expresses the magnetic origin of the domain structure. First, the texture of the domains strikingly resembles the patterns of bubble and stripe domains, universally attributed to modulated phases which are stabilized by competing interactions.<sup>28</sup> In MnWO<sub>4</sub> it is the long-range magnetic interaction that stabilizes the modulated AF2 phase and the periodicity is determined by the magnetic wave vector **k**. Second, the preferential direction of the domain walls

parallel to the arrow in Fig. 2(a) is in striking coincidence with the direction of the magnetic easy axis of MnWO<sub>4</sub> which encloses an angle of  $34^{\circ} - 37^{\circ}$  with the *x* axis in the *xz* plane.<sup>22</sup>

In Figs. 2(b)-2(e) the response of the domain structure in the yz plane to electric and magnetic fields is shown. It is obvious that with an electric field applied along the y axis small changes in the order of 1 kV/cm lead to pronounced changes in the topology of the AF2 domains. In contrast, a magnetic field of up to 5 T applied along the x axis does not alter the domain structure at all [Figs. 2(d) and 2(e)], and the same holds for fields applied along the y or z axis. Figures 2(b)-2(e) thus emphasize the electric nature of the AF2 domain structure.

We therefore conclude that the AF2 domains exhibits hallmarks of both a magnetically and an electrically ordered state. The topology is determined by the magnetic character of the domains, whereas the *field response* reflects the electric character. Because of this bilateral nature, only a denomination of the AF2 domains as multiferroic hybrid domains seems appropriate whereas a description in terms of ferroelectric or antiferromagnetic domains remains incomplete. This is in stark contrast to earlier work<sup>13</sup> where magnetic and ferroelectric domains were still considered as strictly separate entities. It was already pointed out that the improper nature of the ferroelectric polarization implies rigid coupling to the magnetic order parameters.<sup>23,24</sup> However, this seems to be the first work where the consequences of this relation on the level of the domain structure is revealed. Only this leads to the realization that an extended concept of multiferroic hybrid domains is required.

The full three-dimensional topology of the multiferroic domains is presented in Fig. 3 for three differently oriented MnWO<sub>4</sub> samples obtained from the same batch. Figure 3(a)shows the domain structure in the xz plane. Like in Fig. 2(a), dark and bright regions correspond to the two possible multiferroic domains with opposite order parameters. We can see the aforementioned elongation of domains along the magnetic easy axis with the spontaneous polarization  $P_{y}$  pointing into and out of the plane. The propagation of domain walls along the direction of the spontaneous polarization is revealed by Figs. 3(b) and 3(c). Interestingly, the domain walls, here again indicated by the black lines, continue rather straight along the y axis of the crystal. The domains tend to form platelets in planes defined by the magnetic easy axis and the direction of the spontaneous polarization. With a lateral extension evaluated as  $170\pm60 \ \mu m$  (Ref. 29) the domains are surprisingly large. For an improved visualization of the anisotropic multiferroic domain structure, Fig. 3(d) shows a three-dimensional simulation based on the distribution of domains in Figs. 3(a)-3(c). The respective domain structure was projected onto three faces of a cuboid and mended minimally at the edges.

In the following we consider the dynamical aspects in the formation of the domains by applying annealing procedures across the boundaries limiting the multiferroic phase. First of all, we consider the effect of temperature annealing in an order  $\leftrightarrow$  disorder cycle from the AF2 phase to the paramagnetic state above  $T_N$  and back to the multiferroic phase. Initially, the sample was zero-field cooled from room tempera-



FIG. 3. (Color online) Three-dimensional distribution of the multiferroic domains. [(a)–(c)] Domains in the *xz*, *yz*, and *xy* plane of MnWO<sub>4</sub> samples taken from the same batch. (d) Three-dimensional visualization of the multiferroic domain structure in (a)–(c).

ture into the AF2 phase which leads to the SHG image shown in Fig. 4(a). In agreement with Fig. 1(c), a multiplicity of domains is obtained. Subsequently, the order  $\leftrightarrow$  disorder annealing cycle was applied which leads to the SHG image shown in Fig. 4(b). Most of the domain walls have vanished and only a small fraction of the MnWO<sub>4</sub> remains in a domain state opposite to the rest of the sample. Apparently, the annealing procedure tends to drive the sample toward a single-domain state which was confirmed by repeating the experiment more than ten times.

Figure 4 leads to two conclusions. First, no memory effect is observed in the order  $\leftrightarrow$  disorder annealing cycle. Second, while conventional ferroelectrics tend to form a multiplicity of domains for minimizing electric stray fields, ideal antiferromagnets tend to approach a single-domain state.<sup>30</sup> Hence, just like the domain structure itself (Fig. 2) the dynamic domain topology is dominated by the magnetic aspect of the hybrid multiferroic order.

In the second step, we investigated the effect of an order  $\leftrightarrow$  order annealing cycle from the AF2 phase to the AF1 phase and back to the multiferroic state. This transition is of particular interest because of a ferroelectric memory effect reported earlier:<sup>21,31</sup> A single-domain state in the multiferroic phase is memorized in the nonpolar AF1 phase and reemerges when re-entering the AF2 phase. However, all cur-



FIG. 4. Response of the multiferroic domains to a temperature annealing cycle through the paramagnetic phase. (a) Domain structure after initial zero-field cooling from room temperature to the multiferroic AF2 phase. (b) Domain structure after subsequent application of the annealing cycle.

rent data were gained by integral techniques such as pyroelectric current measurements so that it is not known to what extend the memory effect applies to the domain structure of the multiferroic multidomain state.

This is investigated in Fig. 5 which compares the domain structure of the AF2 phase before [panels (b) and (d)] and after [panels (c) and (e)] the annealing cycle through the AF1 phase. Figure 5(a) illustrates that the phase boundary between the AF2 and the AF1 phase can be crossed by magnetic field or temperature tuning. Figures 5(b) and 5(c) reveal the effects of thermal annealing. Minor changes in the roughness of the domain walls and, for a small fraction of the walls, shifts in the order of 100  $\mu$ m are observed but the general distribution of the domains is preserved. Annealing in a magnetic field along the y axis reveals no changes at all in the domain structure. Hence, not only a single-domain state is memorized in the nonchiral nonpolar AF1 phaseactually the entire topology of a multidomain state is preserved. The memory effect is thus much more rigid than established up to now.

Figure 5 immediately raises the question for the origin of such a memory effect. Pinning of the domain structure by structural defects can be excluded. This mechanism would also preserve the domain structure in the order↔disorder transition to the paramagnetic phase contrary to what Fig. 4 shows. For revealing its origin we measured the temperature dependence of the magnetic order parameter  $\mathcal{O}_{AF2}$  across the  $AF2 \rightarrow AF1$  transition. As shown in Fig. 5(f), the SHG contribution that is related to the magnetic order parameter  $\mathcal{O}_{AF2}$ does not vanish abruptly at the phase boundary to the AF1 state. Instead, it diminishes gradually with temperature after an initial steplike decrease. The remanent SHG signal reveals a coexistence of the AF1 and AF2 phase within a broad temperature region enabled by the first-order nature of the transition. Hence, residual nuclei of the AF2 phase explain the "polar memory" of MnWO<sub>4</sub>.<sup>31</sup> The distribution of the



FIG. 5. (Color online) Response of the multiferroic domains to magnetic field (*H*) and temperature (*T*) annealing cycles through the AF1 phase. (a) Sketch of the (*H*, *T*) phase diagram with arrows indicating the respective annealing procedure. [(b) and (d)] Domain structure after initial zero-field cooling from room temperature to the multiferroic AF2 phase. [(c) and (e)] Domain structure after application of the respective annealing cycle. (f) Temperature dependence of the SHG signal ( $\chi_{yxx}$  component at 1.95 eV) (Ref. 13) in a temperature decreasing run. Nonzero SHG yield in the AF1 phase points to a residual polar contribution in the AF1 phase as probable basis of the topological memory effect revealed in panels (b)–(e).

nuclei must be homogeneous and dense in order to explain pinning of the entire topology of a multidomain state. Note that Fig. 5(f) is the first measurement directly proving the

existence of polar inclusions within the AF1 phase, which is possible because of the extraordinary sensitivity of SHG to nanoscopic inclusions.<sup>32</sup>

In summary, we revealed that the magnetically induced ferroelectric phase of the joint-order-parameter multiferroic  $MnWO_4$  forms a different type of domain for which characteristic features of magnetic and ferroelectric domains are inseparably entangled. Here the denomination as *multiferroic hybrid domain* is introduced. In  $MnWO_4$  the *topology* of these chimera domains is determined by their *magnetic* nature, whereas the *field response* reflects the *electric* character. The three-dimensional distribution of the domains was investigated and annealing cycles revealed a topological memory effect allowing one to reconstruct the entire multidomain structure subsequent to quenching it.

The present work should provide a basis for the development of a model explaining the topology of domains in compounds with magnetically induced ferroelectricity. Regarding long-term application, a vastly increasing variety of jointorder-parameter multiferroics allowing ferroelectricity according to Eq. (2) are at our disposal for multiferroic domain control. The discovery of mechanisms promoting magnetically induced ferroelectricity different from Eq. (2) is only a question of time and may eventually lead us toward hightemperature applications. Because of the bilateral nature of the multiferroic hybrid domains, systems with conical spin spirals allow one to exert rigid electric-field control of a macroscopic magnetization.<sup>23</sup> Since magnetization and polarization are manifestations of the same multiferroic hybrid domain state the electric field will always act on both. Rapid magnetization reversal with ultrashort electric-field pulses may thus become feasible.

We thank Steffen Brosseit and BrossBoss Entertainment for designing the 3D domain structure. This work was supported by the DFG through the SFB 608.

- <sup>1</sup>W. Eerenstein, N. D. Mathur, and J. F. Scott, Nature (London) **442**, 759 (2006).
- <sup>2</sup>S.-W. Cheong and M. Mostovoy, Nature Mater. 6, 13 (2007).
- <sup>3</sup>R. E. Newnham, J. J. Kramer, W. A. Schulze, and L. E. Cross, J. Appl. Phys. **49**, 6088 (1978).
- <sup>4</sup>T. Kimura, T. Goto, H. Shintani, K. Ishizaka, T. Arima, and Y. Tokura, Nature (London) **426**, 55 (2003).
- <sup>5</sup>N. Hur, S. Park, P. A. Sharma, J. S. Ahn, S. Guha, and S.-W. Cheong, Nature (London) **429**, 392 (2004).
- <sup>6</sup>M. Kenzelmann, A. B. Harris, S. Jonas, C. Broholm, J. Schefer, S. B. Kim, C. L. Zhang, S.-W. Cheong, O. P. Vajk, and J. W. Lynn, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 087206 (2005).
- <sup>7</sup>G. Lawes, A. B. Harris, T. Kimura, N. Rogado, R. J. Cava, A. Aharony, O. Entin-Wohlman, T. Yildirim, M. Kenzelmann, C. Broholm, and A. P. Ramirez, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 087205 (2005).
- <sup>8</sup>K. Taniguchi, N. Abe, T. Takenobu, Y. Iwasa, and T. Arima, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 097203 (2006).
- <sup>9</sup>T. Kimura, Y. Sekio, H. Nakamura, T. Siegrist, and A. P.

Ramirez, Nature Mater. 7, 291 (2008).

- <sup>10</sup>A. Loidl, H. von Loehneysen, and G. M. Kalvius, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **20**, 430301 (2008).
- <sup>11</sup> Y. J. Choi, J. Okamoto, D. J. Huang, K. S. Chao, H. J. Lin, C. T. Chen, M. van Veenendaal, T. A. Kaplan, and S.-W. Cheong, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 067601 (2009).
- <sup>12</sup> Y. Bodenthin, U. Staub, M. Garcia-Fernandez, M. Janoschek, J. Schlappa, E. I. Golovenchits, V. A. Sanina, and S. G. Lushnikov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 027201 (2008).
- <sup>13</sup>D. Meier, M. Maringer, T. Lottermoser, P. Becker, L. Bohatý, and M. Fiebig, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 107202 (2009).
- <sup>14</sup>B. Kundys, C. Simon, and C. Martin, Phys. Rev. B 77, 172402 (2008).
- <sup>15</sup>P. G. Radaelli, L. C. Chapon, A. Daoud-Aladine, C. Vecchini, P. J. Brown, T. Chatterji, S. Park, and S.-W. Cheong, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 067205 (2008).
- <sup>16</sup>I. Cabrera, M. Kenzelmann, G. Lawes, Y. Chen, W. C. Chen, R. Erwin, T. R. Gentile, J. B. Leão, J. W. Lynn, N. Rogado, R. J. Cava, and C. Broholm, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 087201 (2009).

- <sup>17</sup>P. Tolédano, W. Schranz, and G. Krexner, Phys. Rev. B 79, 144103 (2009).
- <sup>18</sup> P. Tolédano, Phys. Rev. B **79**, 094416 (2009).
- <sup>19</sup>A. B. Harris, Phys. Rev. B **76**, 054447 (2007).
- <sup>20</sup>G. Lawes, M. Kenzelmann, and J. Broholm, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **20**, 434205 (2008).
- <sup>21</sup>A. H. Arkenbout, T. T. M. Palstra, T. Siegrist, and T. Kimura, Phys. Rev. B **74**, 184431 (2006).
- <sup>22</sup>G. Lautenschläger, H. Weitzel, T. Vogt, R. Hock, A. Böhm, M. Bonnet, and H. Fuess, Phys. Rev. B 48, 6087 (1993).
- <sup>23</sup> Y. Yamasaki, S. Miyasaka, Y. Kaneko, J.-P. He, T. Arima, and Y. Tokura, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 207204 (2006).
- <sup>24</sup>Y. Tokunaga, N. Furukawa, H. Sakai, Y. Taguchi, T. Arima, and Y. Tokura, Nature Mater. 8, 558 (2009).
- <sup>25</sup> M. Fiebig, V. V. Pavlov, and R. V. Pisarev, J. Opt. Soc. Am. B 22, 96 (2005).
- <sup>26</sup>The domain structure in *x*-oriented samples is gained from  $\chi_{yzz}$  at 2.75 eV ( $k \parallel x$ ), whereas SHG from  $\chi_{yxx}$  (1.95 eV) is used in the case of *z*-oriented samples ( $k \parallel z$ ). Both tensor components are consistent with the point symmetry  $2_y 1'$ . Due to the incommen-

surability of the magnetic order, additional SHG contributions appear in the AF2 phase which go beyond the contributions revealed by a treatment in terms of point symmetries only (Ref. 13). One of these contributions was employed for mapping the domain structure in y-oriented samples at 2.22 eV with perpendicularly polarized incident and emitted light fields (k||y).

- <sup>27</sup> Antiphase domains, also called translation domains, may occur (Ref. 13). However, they result from the violation of only the translation symmetry and do not differ in the orientation of the order parameter. Therefore, they are not considered henceforth.
- <sup>28</sup>M. Seul and D. Andelman, Science **267**, 476 (1995).
- <sup>29</sup>A. Hubert and R. Schäfer, *Magnetic Domains: The Analysis of Magnetic Microstructures* (Springer, Berlin, 1998).
- <sup>30</sup>Y. Y. Li, Phys. Rev. **101**, 1450 (1956).
- <sup>31</sup>K. Taniguchi, N. Abe, S. Ohtani, and T. Arima, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 147201 (2009).
- <sup>32</sup>T. Kordel, C. Wehrenfennig, D. Meier, T. Lottermoser, M. Fiebig, I. Gélard, C. Dubourdieu, J.-W. Kim, L. Schultz, and K. Dörr, Phys. Rev. B **80**, 045409 (2009).